Ethylene Oxidation over Silver Catalysts: A Study of Mechanism Using Nitrous Oxide and Isotopically Labeled Oxygen

YOU-SING YONG AND NOEL W. CANT¹

School of Chemistry, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia

Received April 24, 1989; revised October 3, 1989

The mechanism of ethylene epoxidation over a silver sponge catalyst under steady-state conditions has been probed by comparison of nitrous oxide and oxygen as oxidants. Under noncompetitive conditions the reaction with oxygen is about five times as fast and more than twice as selective. When both are present oxygen inhibits participation by nitrous oxide and the ratio of rates is much larger. Reaction has been carried out with a mixture of ¹⁸O₂ and N₂¹⁶O. The aim was to see if ¹⁸O was preferentially incorporated into ethylene and ¹⁶O into carbon dioxide, as would be expected if diatomic adsorbed oxygen is the source of the epoxide. The data indicate that this does not occur and hence that ethylene oxide and carbon dioxide are both derived from oxygen atoms. However, it is very difficult to exclude artifacts since the minimal involvement of nitrous oxide makes the isotope analyses difficult. No isotopic mixing occurred between $^{18}O_2$ and N_2 ¹⁶O; neither is it observable when ethylene is oxidized by ${}^{16}O_2/{}^{18}O_2$ mixtures. It is detectable when ethylene is absent but the rate is lower than that of ethylene oxidation under similar conditions. Studies have been made using the same silver catalyst promoted by inclusion of dichloroethane in the feed. This raises selectivity to 80% when oxygen is used but the rate is much lower. The chlorine-moderated catalysts have no measurable activity for ethylene oxidation by nitrous oxide. This difference in behavior between the two oxidants can be correlated with their dissociation rates in the absence of ethylene. Surface chlorine sufficient to depress isotope mixing between ¹⁶O₂ and ¹⁸O₂ by a factor of 7 relative to unpromoted silver renders dissociation of nitrous oxide undetectable. Dissociation of nitrous oxide is also inhibited by adsorbed oxygen even when surface chlorine is present. It is concluded that the rate of ethylene oxidation is related to the rates of dissociation of the respective oxidants. Ethylene oxidation can be faster than oxidant dissociation in the absence of ethylene because reaction with ethylene prevents buildup of inhibiting surface oxygen atoms. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

Silver is unique as the only catalyst for the formation of ethylene oxide by direct combination of ethylene and oxygen. In commercial operation using chlorine, moderated silver selectivities to the epoxide approaching 80% can be achieved. Two views have existed concerning the nature of the adsorbed oxygen species involved in the reaction. One suggests that the crucial step is cleavage of an undissociated oxygen species by reaction with ethylene to yield the epoxide and deposition of a lone oxygen atom. Byproducts carbon dioxide and water are supposed to arise from the latter,

0021-9517/90 \$3.00

Copyright © 1990 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.

derived either in this way or by direct dissociation of other oxygen molecules. Evidence for this model to 1981 was reviewed by Sachtler et al. (1) and has been supported by some subsequent single-crystal studies (2, 3). The second model holds that both epoxide and byproducts arise from the same monatomic oxygen species. Recent transient isotope studies (4), reviewed by van Santen and Kuipers (5) support this view. They show that if silver, predosed to retain ¹⁶O in atomic form, is heated in a mixture of ethylene and ¹⁸O₂, then significant amounts of ethylene oxide containing ¹⁶O are evolved. Thus conversion of oxygen atoms to epoxide is possible. The result does not preclude combination of atoms and the immediate reaction of the diatomic

¹ To whom correspondence should be sent.

species so produced with ethylene, but the authors argue that this is unlikely.

One finding which has been used as evidence against the second model is the observation that N₂O, for which the oxygen species deposited must be atomic initially, exhibits a much lower selectivity for epoxide production than does O_2 . The original study of Herzog (6) gave selectivities of 2-8% but values ranging from zero (7) to 79%(8) have since been reported. This divergence led us to make a comprehensive comparison of reactions using the two oxidants over several silver catalysts. It was shown (9) that the reaction rate with N_2O was typically one-tenth to one-third that with O_2 . For unmoderated catalysts the selectivities were 10 to 20% with N_2O and 35 to 55% with O_2 . It is difficult to use these findings as evidence in favor of either monatomic or diatomic oxygen as the epoxidizing agent. To support the former, one must explain why the selectivities differ so significantly when N₂O should produce the required oxygen species. On the other hand, if diatomic oxygen is involved, then rapid combination of oxygen atoms is required to explain the formation of ethylene oxide from N₂O.

Potentially, the two models can be distinguished through competitive experiments in which the oxygen atoms of N_2O and O_2 are isotopically different. For example, if $^{18}\mathrm{O}_2$ and $N_2{}^{16}\mathrm{O}$ are used then the monatomic model would predict that the ¹⁶O/¹⁸O ratio should be equal to all products and in proportion to the relative reaction rates of the two oxidants. On the other hand, if diatomic oxygen is required for epoxidation, then preferential incorporation of ¹⁸O into ethylene oxide should be observed. One aim of this study was to work out the experimental conditions required for a definitive isotope experiment of the above type. The result of an attempt at it is reported. Earlier, Yokohama and Miyahara (7) carried out reactions using ${}^{18}O_2/N_2{}^{16}O$ mixtures but their work gives no information on the composition of the epoxide product.

EXPERIMENTAL

All catalytic measurements were carried out over samples of a high-purity silver sponge catalyst (BET area = $0.4 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) using a conventional single-pass flow system with analysis by multicolumn gas chromatography. Catalyst preparation, reduction procedures, and reactor operation were as described previously (9, 10). Most measurements were made with the catalyst operating in the steady-state situation reached after some hours on stream. Rate information is reported here as the conversion rate defined as

conversion rate/ μ mol C₂H₄ g Ag⁻¹ min⁻¹ = FX/W

where F is the input molar flow rate of ethylene, X its conversion, and W the weight of silver catalyst. Conversion rates were calculated from product analyses, knowing the overall reaction stoichiometry and the total flow rate. Most measurements were made under differential conditions (conversions $\leq 15\%$). However this was not always practical for all reactants during interchange or combination of oxidants, particularly when the effect of added dichloroethane (DCE) was being followed. Situations in which high conversions would cause the conversion rate, defined as above, to differ substantially from the true differential rate are noted in the text.

Oxygen-18 was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Cambridge, Massachusetts, in a 150-cm³ cylinder. Mass spectral analysis showed approximately 2% ¹⁶O¹⁸O but no detectable ¹⁶O₂. The other gases used were as described previously (9). Mass spectral analyses were carried out using a Vacuum Generators SX 200 quadrupole instrument. Isotope analysis of ethylene oxide was carried out by infrared spectroscopy with the sample as a gas in a 10-cm cell using a Perkin–Elmer Model 580 dispersive instrument fitted with a Model 3600 data station. The instrument was operated in the mode providing lowest noise. This required a scan of 32 min over the range 1000 to 700 cm⁻¹ with a spectral slitwidth of 3.7 cm^{-1} and provided a peak-to-peak noise level of ± 0.0008 absorbance unit with negligible drift between successive spectra. Comparison measurements were made with a Mattson Cygnus 100 Fourier transform instrument fitted with a TGS detector. While this provided the entire spectrum from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ the signal-to-noise was not quite as good as the dispersive instrument over the limited wavenumber range of interest when acquisition was carried out for the same time.

Product samples for isotope analysis were obtained as follows. The catalyst sample was reduced in the standard way at 270°C in hydrogen (9). The catalyst and the entire collection train (comprising a CaSO₄ trap for water removal and two U-tube traps) were then flushed with ultrahigh-purity helium for an extended period. Reaction was commenced directly with the reactant mixtures of interest to avoid any possible carryover of oxygen from earlier experiments. After 10 min the first U-tube trap was cooled with liquid nitrogen and condensable products collected. The contents of the second U-tube trap at the end of this collection period provided the sample of noncondensable material (helium, oxygen, and nitrogen, if present). The relative amounts of ${}^{16}O_2$, ${}^{16}O{}^{18}O$, and ${}^{18}O_2$ in the latter were determined by mass spectrometry without further separation. The condensable materials in the first U-tube were separated into ethylene oxide and carbon dioxide plus nitrous oxide fractions bv trap-to-trap distillation on a vacuum line. Known pressures (8-10 Torr) of each were then admitted into a 10-cm gas cell for infrared analysis as described above.

RESULTS

An isotope tracing experiment using $C_2H_4/{}^{18}O_2/N_2{}^{16}O$ mixtures can succeed only under conditions such that both oxidants participate in reaction, ideally in roughly equal amounts. Under noncompetitive con-

ditions with unmoderated catalysts, rates using N₂O are one-tenth to one-third that with O_2 under equivalent conditions (9). The situation is different under competitive conditions. When progressively larger partial pressures of O₂ were incorporated into C_2H_4/N_2O mixtures of fixed composition, the results shown in Fig. 1 were obtained. The added O₂ clearly enhanced the rates of conversion both to ethylene oxide (curve a) and to the total oxidation products (CO₂) plus H_2O (curve b). However, this increase in rate was accompanied by a decline in N_2 production (curve c) and hence the participation of N_2O in ethylene oxidation. The dominance by oxygen was so effective that its conversion was near complete at low O₂ pressures (>98% up to 33 Torr) and was still 33% for 145 Torr of added O2. By contrast the conversion of N₂O dropped from 12.4% without O_2 to 0.5% when 145 Torr of O_2 was included. Correspondingly, the selectivity to ethylene oxide increased from 22% with N₂O alone to 44% when O₂ became the dominant oxidant.

The effect of adding N₂O to a reacting C_2H_4/O_2 mixture was much less dramatic as shown in Fig. 2. Formation rates for both ethylene oxide and total oxidation products were not increased by the inclusion of 150 Torr of N_2O . If anything there is a slight decline. For N₂O pressures above 30 Torr some N₂ formation can be observed (curve c). However, it is very small, bearing in mind that the scale range for N_2 in Fig. 2 is one-tenth that for C_2H_4 and that the $N_2O/$ C₂H₄ reaction stoichiometry is approximately 4. The selectivity to ethylene oxide remained constant at approximately 40% irrespective of N₂O pressure as expected for a reaction dominated by oxygen.

Table 1 summarizes these findings for the two reactions under noncompetitive and competitive conditions when the pressure of the added oxidant in the latter experiments equals the fixed pressure of the same oxidant in the other experiments. The extreme inhibition of N_2O reactivity by O_2 is obvious. With both oxidants present



FIG. 1. Effect of adding oxygen to the reaction between ethylene (26 Torr) and nitrous oxide (167 Torr) at 234°C, other conditions as per Table 1. (a) Conversion of ethylene to ethylene oxide; (b) conversion of ethylene to carbon dioxide and water; (c) formation of nitrogen from nitrous oxide.



FIG. 2. Effect of adding nitrous oxide to the reaction between ethylene (26 Torr) and oxygen (63 Torr) at 234°C, other conditions as per Table 1. (a) Conversion of ethylene to ethylene oxide; (b) conversion of ethylene to carbon dioxide and water; (c) formation of nitrogen from nitrous oxide.

Comparison of C_2H_4/O_2 and C_2H_4/N_2O Reactions with the Reaction of $C_2H_4/O_2/N_2O$ Mixtures over 1.04g Silver Sponge^a

	$C_2H_4 + O_2$ alone	$C_2H_4 + N_2O$ alone	$C_2H_4 + O_2 + N_2O_2$	
Selectivity (%)	39	23	39	
Ethylene conversion (%)	84	19	74	
O ₂ conversion (%)	69	_	70	
N ₂ O conversion (%)		13	0.85	
Conversion rate (μ mol C ₂ H ₄ g Ag ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)				
C ₂ H ₄	55	12	51	
O ₂	102 ^b		101 ^b	
N ₂ O		52	3.3	

 a From data of Figs. 1 and 2 for reaction at 234°C with pressures as follows: C₂H₄, 26 Torr; O₂, 63 Torr; N₂O, 167 Torr.

^b Calculated from product analysis.

(column 3) N₂O contributes only $\sim 0.75\%$ of the oxygen atoms provided by O_2 . This finding makes isotope tracing using $C_2H_4/$ ¹⁸O₂/N₂¹⁶O mixtures extremely difficult since one is faced with the need for accurate analysis of $C_2H_4^{16}O$ in $C_2H_4^{18}O$ at the level of 1% or less. Only limited optimization of operating conditions to increase the N_2O/O_2 rate ratio is possible. There is little point in considering much lower oxygen pressures since this would increase its already high conversion and exacerbate the problem of different rate ratios for N2O versus O_2 along the catalyst bed. Higher N_2O pressures do help but the limit then is the presence of small amounts of impurity oxygen in the nitrous oxide (about 0.1% in our supplies).

Based on these considerations the optimum conditions for the use of $C_2H_4/^{18}O_2/N_2^{16}O$ mixtures for our experimental arrangements are as shown in Table 2. The experiment was carried out under such conditions, approaching the problem of accurate analysis of small amounts of $C_2H_4^{16}O$ in $C_2H_4^{18}O$ in the following way. First, a reaction using $C_2H_4/^{18}O_2$ alone was carried out and the condensable products were collected at $-196^{\circ}C$. The ethylene oxide present, entirely ¹⁸O except for impurity ¹⁶O in the ¹⁸O₂ used, was separated out by trap-

to-trap distillation. Its infrared spectrum, as a gas in the region 940 to 780 cm^{-1} , is shown in Fig. 3a. The dominant structure, centered at the dip in absorbance at 853 cm^{-1} , is due to the ν_5 symmetric vibration with a type B contour (11). The small sharp peak at 807 cm⁻¹ has been assigned to the ν_{15} vibration (11). A mixture comprising $C_2H_4^{18}O$ to which 5% $C_2H_4^{16}O$ was deliberately added produced spectrum b in Fig. 3. Apart from a few small shoulders near 879, 871, and 820 cm^{-1} it appears to differ little from that of C₂H₄¹⁸O alone. However, subtraction of a from b after normalization and multiplication by 10 gave c, which is readily recognizable as that of C₂H₄¹⁶O when recorded alone as d in Fig. 3. There is some discrepancy near 850 cm⁻¹, probably due to different pressure broadening effects on lineshape since total pressures for a and b were different. The spectrum of $C_2H_4^{16}O$ is similar to that of $C_2H_4^{18}O$ except that ν_5 and v_{15} are displaced upward to 877 and 820 cm^{-1} respectively (11). The above test shows that determination of C₂H₄¹⁶O in $C_2H_4^{18}O$ is readily possible at the 5% level and should be feasible at lower concentrations.

Following the above trials, reaction was carried out using a $C_2H_4/{}^{18}O_2/N_2{}^{16}O$ mixture under the conditions of Table 2. The infrared spectrum of the ethylene oxide

TABLE 2

Conditions Used for Oxidation of Ethylene over Silver Sponge using ¹⁸O₂/N₂O Mixtures

Catalyst	1.04-g Ag sponge		
Temperature	233°C		
Pressure			
C_2H_4	24 Torr		
¹⁸ O ₂	63 Torr		
N2 ¹⁶ O	111 Torr		
Total flow rate	30 cm ³ STP min ⁻		
Conversion			
C_2H_4	92		
18O2	48%		
N ₂ O	≈1%		
Selectivity	≈41%		
-			



FIG. 3. Infrared spectra of gaseous ethylene oxides. (a) $C_2H_4^{18}O$; (b) 5% $C_2H_4^{16}O + 95\% C_2H_4^{18}O$; (c) difference spectrum comprising b less a (in taking the difference, spectrum a was first multiplied by 1.053 to compensate for the difference in pressure between the two samples); (d) $C_2H_4^{16}O$.

product after separation is shown in Fig. 4a. Subtraction of the normalized $C_2H_4^{18}O$ spectrum (i.e., Fig. 3a) and multiplication by 50 gave b in Fig. 4. Despite the increased noise level the spectrum of C₂H₄¹⁶O is readily recognizable by comparison with the pure sample (spectrum c). The two horns of the B-type contour of ν_5 at 882 and 871 cm⁻¹, together with the peak of ν_{15} at 820 cm⁻¹, can be used for quantitation of C₂H₄¹⁶O. This is done by ratioing absorbances at these wavenumbers against those of the corresponding features for C₂H₄¹⁸O and comparing these ratios with those obtained for the synthetic mixture of known composition (Figs. 3c and b).

The results of these determinations are shown in Table 3 in comparison with the

predictions of four schemes. Scheme i assumes that nitrous oxide and oxygen exhibit the same selectivity when both are present. Scheme ii corresponds to the situation in which nitrous oxide and oxygen retain the selectivities they exhibit when tested separately under the same conditions (i.e., 20 and 41%, respectively). The third (iii) assumes that reaction of $N_2^{16}O$ proceeds by decomposition through to ¹⁶O₂ which reacts identically to ¹⁸O₂. The basis of scheme iv is that nitrous oxide and oxygen give rise to separate pools of different type and only the pool from oxygen gives rise to ethylene oxide. In regard to the two mechanisms discussed in the Introduction it may be noted that scheme i corresponds to the one with atomic oxygen, whereas the



FIG. 4. Infrared spectra of gaseous ethylene oxides. (a) Product ethylene oxide from reaction using mixture of ${}^{18}O_2$ and $N_2{}^{16}O$ (see text); (b) difference spectrum comprising spectrum a less spectrum of $C_2H_4{}^{18}O$ (Fig. 3a) (in taking the difference, spectrum 3a was first multiplied by 1.158 to compensate for the difference in pressure between the two samples); (c) $C_2H_4{}^{16}O$.

diatomic model is represented by either scheme ii or iv depending on whether interconvertibility between the two surface oxygen species is possible or not. The analytical results at the three wavenumbers are consistent. The average value falls fairly close to that expected for Scheme i, the single pool situation, after allowance for reaction of ${}^{16}O_2$ present as an impurity in the nitrous oxide used. Note that it is unnecessary to allow for the ¹⁶O content of the ¹⁸O₂ used since the C₂H₄¹⁸O spectrum used for subtraction corresponds to a sample made from the same ${}^{18}O_2$ in the absence of N_2O . Other possible corrections were considered. Kinetic isotope effects leading to different reactivities for the oxygen isotopes

are unlikely to exceed one or two parts in one hundred (12). Checks by repeated transfers between vacuum line and infrared cell showed no evidence of cross-contamination between samples. Thus, we tentatively conclude from the data of Table 3 that nitrous oxide and oxygen form a single oxygen pool.

The above result would also be expected if oxygen scrambling between oxygen and nitrous oxide gas took place faster than reaction. This possibility was excluded by determination of the ${}^{16}O{}^{18}O{}_2$ ratio in the product stream from the above experiment and in separate experiments in which an equimolar mixture of ${}^{16}O_2$ and ${}^{18}O_2$ was used as oxidant. These results are given in

TABLE 3

Comparison of Predicted and Measured C_2H_4 ¹⁶O Content of Ethylene Oxide Formed during Oxidation of Ethylene by N_2 ¹⁶O/¹⁸O₂ Mixtures under Conditions Listed in Table 2

	% C ₂ H ₄ ¹⁶ O in ethylene oxide
Predicted	
i Identical selectivity for N ₂ O and O ₂	1.0
ii Retention of individual selectivities	0.4
iii $N_2^{16}O \rightarrow {}^{16}O_2$ then reaction	0.5
iv Pool from N_2O does not give epoxide	0.0
Measured	
882 cm ⁻¹	1.3
871 cm ⁻¹	1.1
820 cm ⁻¹	1.5
Average	1.3
Correction for ¹⁶ O ₂ in N ₂ O	< 0.3
Net amount	1.0

Table 4. Within the limits of experimental error, the ${}^{16}O{}^{18}O_2$ ratio is the same in feed and product for each experiment. One must conclude that recombination of any oxygen atoms formed on the surface is much slower than the overall rate of ethylene oxidation (at least by a factor of 20 under our conditions). This is in accord with our earlier finding (9) that the rate of conversion of nitrous oxide to nitrogen is much faster when ethylene is present than when it is not.

The isotopic composition of the carbon dioxides formed during epoxidation using ${}^{16}O_2/{}^{18}O_2$ mixtures at 233°C was also determined by mass spectrometry. As one might expect the distribution was indistinguishable from equilibrium. The values were $C^{16}O_2 = 30.4\%$, $C^{16}O^{18}O = 48.6\%$, and $C^{18}O_2 = 21.0\%$ by analysis compared to $C^{16}O_2 = 29.9\%$, $C^{16}O^{18}O = 49.6\%$, and $C^{18}O_2 = 20.5\%$ for equilibrium assuming an equilibrium constant of 4 for the production of $C^{16}O^{18}O$ from $C^{16}O_2$ and $C^{18}O_2$.

Silver catalysts used industrially for ethylene oxide synthesis are operated with a few parts per million of an organochlorine compound in the feed. This produces higher selectivity at the expense of some loss in activity. The possibility of carrying out isotope experiments similar to the above with a chlorine-moderated catalyst was examined. Our earlier work (9) suggested that this would be difficult since at temperatures to 240°C the inclusion of dichloroethane seemed to reduce the rate of the $C_2H_4 + N_2O$ reaction much more than that of the $C_2H_4 + O_2$ reaction. Table 5 shows the results of further tests extending to higher temperatures. In tests under conditions similar to those for Table 1 the inclusion of 6.7 ppm of dichloroethane (DCE) in the feed reduced the conversion rate for the $C_2H_4 + N_2O$ reaction at 239°C to below the limit of detectability in 2 h (rows 1 and 2). This exposure to dichloroethane is suffi-

TABLE 4

Formation of ${}^{16}O{}^{18}O$ by Exchange during Ethylene Oxidation using ${}^{16}O_2 + {}^{18}O_2$ and $N_2{}^{16}O{}^{18}O_2$ Mixtures^{*a*}

Reaction temperature (°C)	Oxidant	% Oxygen conversion ^b	$^{16}O^{18}O$ as a % of $^{18}O_2^{c}$		
			In feed	In product	
157	$^{16}O_2 + ^{18}O_2$	2.2	2.2 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.2	
233	${}^{16}O_2 + {}^{18}O_2$	51	2.0 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 0.2	
233	$N_2^{16}O + {}^{18}O_2$	48	1.8 ± 0.2	$2.0~\pm~0.2$	

^a Conditions similar to those in Figs. 1 and 2.

^b % of feed oxygen ($^{16}O_2 + {}^{18}O_2$ or ${}^{18}O_2$ alone) incorporated into all oxidation products.

^c Calculated from relative intensities of peaks with m/e = 34 and m/e = 36.

YONG AND CANT

TABLE 5

Feed ^a	Oxidant	Temperature (°C)	Time on stream (h)	Conversion rate ^b	Selectivity (%)
43 Torr C ₂ H ₄ , 183 Torr N ₂ O	N ₂ O	239	0.05	4.7	16
(or 90 Torr O_2) + 6.7 ppm DCE	N ₂ O	239	2	< 0.05	
	N ₂ O	260	4	< 0.05	
	O ₂	260	4.3	3.7	81
167 Torr C ₂ H ₄ , 53 Torr N ₂ O	N ₂ O	239	0.05	3.0	32
(or 55 Torr O_2) + 1.8 ppm DCE	N ₂ O	239	2	1.6	51
	N ₂ O	239	6	< 0.05	
	N ₂ O	260	6.5	< 0.05	
	O ₂	260	6.8	1.4	68
	O_2	260	30	1.9	80
	0 ₂	306	32.6	17.1	71
	N ₂ O	306	35.6	<0.05	-

Effect of Dichloroethane (DCE) on Ethylene Oxidation over 2.16-g Silver Sponge using Nitrous Oxide and Oxygen

^a Balance helium.

^b In μ mol C₂H₄ g Ag⁻¹ min⁻¹.

cient to give a chlorine coverage (atoms per surface Ag atom) of 0.2 assuming total chlorine deposition. The reaction rate remained unmeasurable on raising the temperature to 260°C (row 3) but substitution of N_2O by O_2 gave reaction with the high selectivity (81%) characteristic of chlorine-moderated silver (row 4). The rate ratio using O_2 versus N₂O must be greater than 30, compared to 5 when chlorine is absent (Table 1). A second series was carried out under conditions of ethylene excess (as used industrially). Inclusion of 1.8 ppm dichloroethane extinguished the rate with N₂O in 6 h at 239°C (rows 5 to 7 of Table 5). No reaction was observed at 260°C (row 8) or 306°C (row 12), even though ethylene oxide synthesis occurred with high selectivity (rows 9 to 11) using oxygen under similar conditions. The conclusion from the two tests is that chlorine-containing moderators cause complete inhibition of ethylene oxidation by N_2O . Experiments using $N_2^{16}O/^{18}O_2$ mixtures under such conditions cannot provide useful data since no $N_2^{16}O$ will react.

The above results suggest that chlorine

adsorption prevents N₂O decomposition. This supposition was confirmed by measurements of the rate of N_2 and O_2 formation from N₂O under steady-state conditions in the absence of ethylene. Results are shown in Table 6 for the decomposition of approximately 50 Torr of N₂O at 306°C. A conversion of 6.3% was obtained for hydrogen-reduced silver (row 1) but no decomposition occurred after predosing the same catalyst with dichloroethane to a chlorine coverage equivalent to that which extinguished the C_2H_4/N_2O reaction. The effect of oxygen, fed continuously or predosed on N₂O decomposition, was also examined. Inclusion of O₂ at a partial pressure onesixth that of N₂O lowered the decomposition rate by 60% (row 3). Predosing the catalyst with oxygen also lowered the rate but by a much smaller amount (row 4). These results indicate that inhibition of N₂O decomposition by adsorbed oxygen is the likely cause of the reduced participation of N_2O in ethylene oxidation when oxygen is also present (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

The effect of surface chlorine on the rate

ETHYLENE OXIDATION OVER SILVER CATALYSTS

TABLE 6

Feed ^a	Catalyst treatment	$\% N_2O$ converted	Formation rate (µmol g Ag ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	
			N ₂	O ₂
54 Torr N ₂ O	H ₂ reduction	6.3	3.1	1.35
50 Torr N_2O + 1.9 ppm DCE	H_2 reduction, then DCE exposure ^b	<0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05
55 Torr N_2O + 9 Torr O_2	H_2 reduction	2.5	1.2	d
50 Torr N ₂ O	H_2 reduction, then O_2 exposure ^c	5.5	2.6	1.2

Effect of Dichloroethane (DCE) and Oxygen on the Rate of Decomposition of N₂O over 2.16-g Silver Sponge at 306°C

^a Balance helium with total flow rate of 33 cm³ STP min⁻¹.

^b 3.7 h at 239°C to 1.9 ppm DCE in helium at total flow rate of 42 cm³ STP min⁻¹.

^c 44 min to 40 Torr O₂ in helium at 306°C followed by flushing with helium alone.

^d Not measurable due to large amount of O₂ added.

of isotope exchange in ${}^{16}\text{O}_2/{}^{18}\text{O}_2$ mixtures was investigated similarly. Results are shown in Table 7. Inclusion of 3.3 ppm dichloroethane in the feed lowers the mixing rate by a factor of 6 or 7 compared to the unmoderated sample. The rate of oxygen consumption during ethylene oxidation over a similarly moderated catalyst at 306°C (second last row Table 5) is about 13 μ mol O₂ g Ag⁻¹ min⁻¹, i.e., substantially greater than the mixing rate.

DISCUSSION

The present results using $N_2^{16}O/^{18}O_2$ mixtures, while suggestive as to the active surface oxygen species for ethylene oxide for-

TABLE 7

Effect of Dichloroethane (DCE) on Isotope Exchange in ${}^{16}O_2/{}^{18}O_2$ Mixtures Passed over 0.5-g Silver Sponge at 311°C

Feed Sat composition	Sample	% Composition			Exchange rate
		¹⁶ O ₂	¹⁶ O ¹⁸ O	¹⁸ O ₂	$g Ag^{-1} min^{-1}$
144 torr O2 ^a	product (feed)	49.4 (50.7)	3.9 (1.3)	46.6 (48.0)	15.2
144 torr O ₂ ^a + 3.3 ppm DCE	product (feed)	51.3 (52.8)	1.8 (1.4)	46.9 (45.8)	2.3

^a Balance helium to total flow rate of 34.1 cm³ STP min⁻¹.

mation, ideally require verification over a wider range of conditions. This will not be easy given the predominant involvement of oxygen in the reaction. Two approaches may be considered. Nitrous oxide of higher purity (say <100 ppm oxygen) would enable the use of higher nitrous oxide/oxygen pressure ratios. This would increase formation of ethylene oxide from the former oxidant and improve the precision with which the oxygen isotope ratio in this product could be determined. Analysis of the ¹⁶O/ ¹⁸O ratio in carbon dioxide, not attempted here, might then be possible also. Alternatively, reverse labeling (i.e., $N_2^{18}O/16O_2$) could be tried. This is the preferred arrangement for mass spectral analysis since the determination of small amounts of a heavier label in a dominant unlabeled product is less prone to interference. (Mass spectral measurements were impractical in the present work since parent ions from the minor C₂H₄¹⁶O component were overwhelmed by fragment ions from $C_2H_4^{18}O$). However, the cost of ¹⁸O-labeled nitrous oxide would make measurements with it under steady-state conditions very expensive.

The current isotope data (Table 3), assuming their correctness, show that nitrous oxide and oxygen have the same selectivity for ethylene oxide formation when both oxidants are present. This is despite their very different individual selectivities (Table 1). The only reasonable conclusion is that mixtures give rise to a single oxygen pool from which both partial and complete oxidation products are derived. This is best explained in terms of the reaction of ethylene with a combined pool of ¹⁶O and ¹⁸O atoms derived by dissociation of N₂¹⁶O and ¹⁸O₂, respectively, i.e.,



For this to hold, recombination of oxygen atoms must be much slower than their reaction with ethylene to explain the absence of isotope exchange when ${}^{16}O_2/{}^{18}O_2$ is the oxidant (Table 4). This also explains why N₂ formation from C₂H₄/N₂O mixtures is faster than that from decomposition of N₂O alone. The latter would be restricted by oxygen atom buildup due to their low recombination rate. Stripping of oxygen adatoms by ethylene provides more surface for N₂O decomposition.

It is much more difficult to explain the results on the basis that O₂(ads) in the above scheme constitutes the single pool. For that to apply one would need to suppose that it is buildup of this species to the point of surface blocking which causes the rate of N₂O decomposition to be much less than that of the C_2H_4/N_2O reaction. It would also be a requirement that the rate of oxygen desorption, i.e., $O_2(ads) \rightarrow O_2(gas)$, must be much slower than ethylene oxidation with N_2O/O_2 mixtures, since otherwise prediction iii of Table 3 would be observed. Both propositions seem unlikely given that TPD measurements show rapid desorption of diatomic oxygen species from silver at temperatures well below those used for ethvlene oxidation (13).

Thus, overall the data are much more consistent with the idea that atomic oxygen

is the reactive species. This is in accord with the conclusions of van Santen and de Groot (4) from transient experiments in which the atomic species was formed by predosing with ${}^{16}O_2$ rather than from N_2O under steady-state conditions as here. Some additional support is provided by the effect of dichloroethane when using nitrous oxide. Sufficient exposure to the additive prevents the C_2H_4/N_2O reaction (Table 5) by blocking nitrous oxide decomposition (Table 6). Lesser exposures (e.g., row 6 of Table 5) greatly reduce the ethylene oxidation rate but increase selectivity to ethylene oxide. Adsorbed chlorine must then be arranged such that few sites capable of N₂O dissociation remain. Such sites as are present are likely to be well spaced. Combination of deposited oxygen atoms should then be relatively more difficult. If diatomic oxvgen were the crucial epoxidizing species then one would expect the selectivity to fall. However, experiment shows (row 6 of Table 5) that it increases to at least 50% before the rate becomes too low to measure. This increase is expected if the reaction involves atomic oxygen. Increased separation between oxygen atoms should lessen the likelihood of oxygen attack on CH bonds during the conversion of ethylene to ethylene oxide and thus reduce oxidation to CO₂ and H₂O relative to ethylene oxide formation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by funds provided by the Australian Research Grants Scheme, Y. S. Yong gratefully acknowledges the receipt of a Macquarie University Research Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- Sachtler, W. M. H., Backx, C., and van Santen, R. A., Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng. 23, 127 (1981).
- 2. Campbell, C. T., J. Catal. 94, 436 (1985).
- 3. Campbell, C. T., J. Catal. 99, 28 (1986).
- van Santen, R. A., and de Groot, C. P. M., J. Catal. 98, 530 (1986).
- van Santen, R. A., and Kuipers, H. P. C. E., Adv. Catal. 35, 265 (1987).

- 6. Herzog, W., Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 74, 216 (1970).
- 7. Yokohama, S., and Miyahara, K., J. Res. Inst. Catal. Hokkaido Univ. 22, 63 (1974).
- Tan, S. A., Grant, R. B., and Lambert, R. M., J. Catal. 104, 156 (1987).
- 9. Yong, Y. S., and Cant, N. W., Appl. Catal. 48, 37 (1989).
- Yong, Y. S., Soerensen, K. J., and Cant, N. W., J. Chromatogr. 450, 399 (1988).
- 11. Cant, N. W., and Armstead, W. J., Spectrochim. Acta A 21, 839 (1975).
- Melander, L., "Isotope Effects on Reaction Rate." Ronald Press, New York, 1963.
- Backx, C., de Groot, C. P. M., and Biloen, P., Surf. Sci. 104, 300 (1981).